# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MS

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION	FOR FEDERAL AS		2. DATE SUBI 7/15/2005	MITTED		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER
1. TYPE OF SUBMIS	SION:	3	B. DATE REC	EIVED BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Application Construction Non-Constructio	Pre-applica Const	lē.	I. DATE REC	EIVED BY FEDERAL	AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER
5. APPLICANT INFO	RMATION					
Legal Name: Mississippi State De	enartment of Health	_	Organization Health Serv			
Address (give city, cc 570 E. Woodrow Wi P.O. Box 1700 Jackson, MS 39215 County: Hinds	ounty, state and zip code	,	Name and to application ( Name: <b>Dan</b> i Tel Number			contacted on matters involving this
6 4 6	0 0 0 7	7 5	A. State B. County C. Municipl D. Townshi E. Interstate F. Intermur G. Special	H. Independe I. State Contre J. Private Uni P. K. Indian Trib L. Individual iciple M. Profit Orga District N. Other (Spe	ont School Distriction of the control of the contro	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION New Continuous				FEDERAL AGENCY ources and Services		n, Maternal and Child Health Bureau
If Revision, enter app A. Increase Award B. Decr Decrease Duration Other (sp	propriate letter(s) in box( ease Award C. Increase Durati pecify):	ion				
10. CATALOG OF FE NUMBER:	EDERAL DOMESTIC AS	SSISTANCE		PTIVE TITLE OF AP		DJECT:
	9 4 I Child Health Services ED BY PROJECT (cities					
13. PROPOSED PRO	DJECT:		14. CONGR	ESSIONAL DISTRIC	TS OF:	
Start Date: 10/01/2005	Ending Date: <b>09/30/2006</b>		a. Applicant I, II, III, IV		b. Proj <b>Same</b>	ect as 14a
	10,537,408.00  0.00  7,949,273.00  0.00  0.00  18,486,681.00  F MY KNOWLEDGE AN	a. YES, THIS PR ORDER 12372 DATE: b. NO PROG OR PI  17. IS THE APPLI Yes. If "Yes",	REAPPLICATION REPORTS IN THE PROPERTY OF THE P	ON/APPLICATION WE'GO REVIEW ON  T COVERED BY E.O.  S NOT BEEN SELECT  QUENT ON ANY FEDIANATION IN NO  APPLICATION/PREA	APPLICATION A	VE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?  ILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE  E FOR REVIEW  ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE PLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE
a. Typed Name of Au	ANCES IF THE ASSIST Ithorized Representative	ANCE IS AWARDI		b. Title		c. Telephone Number
Brian W. Amy, MD,				State Health Officer	r	(601) 576-7634
d. Signature of Autho	rized Representative					e. Date Signed

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM	2			
MCH BUDGET DETAI (Secs. 504 (d) and		Y 2006		
STATE:	MS			
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	10,537,408
A.Preventive and primary care for children:				
\$3,161,222 (30_%)				
B.Children with special health care needs:				
\$ 3,161,222 ( 30%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the applica	otion)[Coo EO	5(0)(2)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:	anon)[Oec. 500	(a)(J)		
\$1,053,740 (10 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]				
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	7,949,273
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 6,576,655			\$	7,949,273
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PAR (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	RTNERSI	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$ <u></u>	18,486,681
<b>9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS</b> (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Tit	tle V program)			
a. SPRANS:	\$	0		
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000		
c. CISS:	\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	828,953		
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0		
f. EMSC:	\$	0		
g. WIC:	\$	63,962,947		
h. AIDS:	\$	0		
i. CDC:	\$	0		
j. Education:	\$	4,509,173		
k. Other:				
Title X	\$	6,368,205		
	\$			
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item §	9)		\$	75,769,278
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	94,255,959

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 10,928,315	\$10,918,064	\$10,537,408	\$0	\$10,537,408	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$8,244,167	\$ 12,034,691	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$19,172,482	\$ 22,952,755	\$ 18,486,681	\$0	\$ 18,486,681	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$94,940,898	\$ 94,659,458	\$ 96,027,244	\$0	\$94,255,959	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 10,928,315	\$10,638,887	\$ 10,928,315	\$11,370,646	\$ 10,928,315	\$11,088,275
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$8,244,167	\$8,551,184	\$8,244,167	\$ 10,958,403	\$8,244,167	\$ 12,257,195
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$19,172,482	\$ 19,190,071	\$19,172,482	\$ 22,329,049	\$19,172,482	\$ 23,345,470
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$68,159,941	\$68,159,941	\$	\$	\$	\$
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 87,332,423	\$ 87,350,012	\$ 89,436,364	\$ 92,592,931	\$91,994,132	\$ 96,167,120
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Budgeted amount for state match is only an estimate, calculated as a percentage of the federal MCH allocation; consequently, actual expenditures tend to differ from the

budgeted amounts.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds

Column Name: Expended
Year: 2004
Field Note:
Budgeted amount was simply an estimate of future expenditures based upon match requirement for grant. Actual expenditures proved to be more.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	200	4		FY 2	2005			FY 2	2006	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bu	DGETED	Ex	PENDED	Вι	JDGETED	Ехрі	ENDED	Вι	JDGETED	EXPENDE	D
a. Pregnant Women	\$	7,093,477	\$	6,669,877	\$_	5,546,004	\$	0	\$	5,546,004	\$	(
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	0	\$_	0	\$_	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$_	5,234,428	\$_	7,268,973	\$_	5,546,004	\$	0	\$_	5,546,004	\$	(
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	5,751,746	\$	7,944,395	\$_	5,546,004	\$	0	\$	5,546,004	\$	(
e. Others	\$_	0	\$_	0	\$_	0	\$	0	\$_	0	\$	(
f. Administration	\$	1,092,831	\$_	1,069,510	\$_	1,848,669	\$	0	\$_	1,848,669	\$	(
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ <u></u>	19,172,482	\$	22,952,755	\$	18,486,681	\$	0	\$	18,486,681	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontr	ol of the person r	esp	onsible for admini	stra	tion of the Title V	progra	am).				
a. SPRANS	\$_	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	96,324			\$_	100,000			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	1,062,752			\$_	846,680			\$	828,953		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$_	61,603,035			\$_	63,017,321			\$	63,962,947		
h. AIDS	\$_	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	3,432,422			\$	2,820,059			\$	0		
j. Education	\$_	4,213,822			\$_	4,387,834			\$	4,509,173		
k.Other	]								_		ı	
Title X	\$	0			\$	6,368,669			\$	6,368,205		
Title X Family Plng	\$_	5,360,061			\$_	0			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	75,768,416	1		\$	77,540,563			\$	75,769,278		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2001		FY 2	200	2		FY 2	200	3
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	But	OGETED	EXPENDED	Bu	IDGETED	Ex	PENDED	Bu	DGETED	Ex	PENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	7,719,734	\$	\$_	7,719,734	\$_	7,021,515	\$	7,093,477	\$_	7,150,130
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	0	\$0	\$	0	\$_	0	\$	0	\$_	(
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	4,608,171	\$3,600,440	\$_	4,608,171	\$_	6,306,421	\$	5,234,428	\$_	7,733,492
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	5,751,746	\$6,868,226	\$_	5,751,746	\$_	7,942,541	\$_	5,751,746	\$_	7,580,611
e. Others	\$	0	\$0	\$	0	\$_	0	\$	0	\$_	(
f. Administration	\$	1,092,831	\$ 950,158	\$_	1,092,831	\$	1,058,573	\$_	1,092,831	\$_	881,237
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	19,172,482	\$19,190,071	\$_	19,172,482	\$	22,329,050	\$	19,172,482	\$	23,345,470
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontro	ol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stra	tion of the Title V	pro	gram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	242,229		\$_	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	95,000		\$	160,887			\$	184,647		
c. CISS	\$	0		\$_	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	1,062,752		\$_	1,062,752			\$	1,062,752		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0		\$_	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	56,783,751		\$	58,029,534			\$	59,525,457		
h. AIDS	\$	0		\$_	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	1,891,349		\$	2,687,943			\$	2,420,994		
j. Education	\$	3,688,050		\$	3,876,753			\$	4,213,822		
k.Other	]										
Title X Family Planning	\$	4,396,810		\$	4,446,013			\$	5,413,978		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	68,159,941		\$	70,263,882			\$	72,821,650		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are only an estimate of what will be spent. Actual expenditures will tend to differ.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amount was an estimate of future expenditures calculated as 30% of the total program budget.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are only an estimate. Actual expenditures will tend to be different.

**Section Number:** I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership **Field Name:** CSHCNExpended

Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amount was an estimate of future expenditures calculated as 30% of total program budget.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are only an estimate. Actual expenditures will tend to be different.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$10,359,746	\$12,394,488	\$ 9,982,808	\$0	\$ 9,982,808	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$984,226	\$1,147,638	\$924,334	\$0	\$924,334	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,485,905	\$1,836,220	\$1,478,934	\$0	\$1,478,934	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$6,342,605	\$	\$6,100,605	\$0	\$6,100,605	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$19,172,482	\$22,952,755	\$18,486,681	\$0	\$18,486,681	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 10,359,746	\$10,432,698	\$10,359,746	\$12,066,619	\$ 10,359,746	\$12,606,554	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 984,226	\$814,145	\$ 984,226	\$1,145,480	\$\$84,226	\$1,167,273	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,485,905	\$1,632,147	\$1,485,905	\$1,730,501	\$1,485,905	\$1,867,638	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$6,342,605	\$6,311,081	\$6,342,605	\$	\$6,342,605	\$	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$19,172,482	\$19,190,071	\$19,172,482	\$22,329,049	\$19,172,482	\$23,345,470	

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are only estimates. Actual expenditures will tend to be different.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amount was an estimate. Actual expenditures proved to be more.

Section Number: Main Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are only estimates. Actual expenditures will tend to be different.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amount was an estimate. Actual expenditures proved to be more.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are only estimates. Actual expenditures will tend to be different.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Budgeted amount was an estimate. Actual expenditures proved to be more.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Budgeted amounts are only estimates. Actual expenditures will tend to be different.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amount was an estimate. Actual expenditures proved to be more.

	FORM 6														
NUMBER AND PE	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED														
	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)														
STATE: MS															
14.400															
Total Births by Oc	Fotal Births by Occurrence: 41,488 Reporting Year: 2004														
Type of Screening Tests (A) (B) (C) No. of Presumptive Positive Positive (C) (D) Needing Treatment that Received Treatment(3)															
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%									
Phenylketonuria	41,219	99.4	6	2	2	100									
Congenital Hypothyroidism	41,219	99.4	37	30	30	100									
Galactosemia	41,219	99.4	16	8	8	100									
Sickle Cell Disease	41,219	99.4	76	70	70	100									
Other Screening	(Specify)														
Biotinidase Deficiency	41,219	99.4	8	3	1	33.3									
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	41,219	99.4	1	0	0										
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)											
Cystic Fibrosis	41,219		12	12	12	100									
MCAD	41,219		5	2	2	100									
Other (screening began 6/1/03)	41,219		42	3	3	100									
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.															

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: BirthOccurence
Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence Year: 2006

Field Note:

provisional data provided 1/20/05

Section Number: Other Screening Types Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows

Column Name: All Columns
Year: 2006
Field Note:
5 cases of biotinidase deficiency were only partial deficiencies and did not require treatment.

### Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MS

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V		PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE									
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %						
Pregnant Women	26,158	86.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	13.1						
Infants < 1 year old	42,321	86.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0						
Children 1 to 22 years old	41,737	89.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	10.7						
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	2,978	67.0	7.0	18.0	8.0	0.0						
Others	114,029	25.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	74.5						
TOTAL	227,223											
	·											

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 7
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: MS

Reporting Year: 2003

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	42,739	23,240	18,761	291	391	52_	0	4
Title V Served	26,158	10,191	14,971	21	93	0	0	882
Eligible for Title XIX	25,214	9,357	14,377	200	139	7	0	1,134
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	42,321	23,118	18,470	287	390	52	0	4
Title V Served	42,321	23,118	18,470	287	390	52	0	4
Eligible for Title XIX	32,573	12,771	19,345	238	186	33	0	0

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPA	ANIC OR LATING	(Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	of origin)
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	41,786	919	34	630	0	16	56	171
Title V Served	25,644	514	0	0	0	0	0	514
Eligible for Title XIX	24,524	351	0	0	0	0	0	351
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	41,383	909	29	622	2	16	55	214
Title V Served	41,383	909	29	622	2	16	55	214
Eligible for Title XIX	32,573	753	0	0	0	0	0	753

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino Year: 2006

The number of deliveries reported for Hispanic is correct. The total reported is 919, and the total of sub-categories B1-B5 equals 919.

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MS

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 721-7222	(800) 721-7222	(800) 721-7222	(800) 721-7222	(800) 721-7222
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	"Take Care"				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Louisa Denson	Louisa Denson	Louisa Denson	Hazel Gaines	Hazel Gaines
Contact Person's Telephone Number	(601) 576-7950	(601) 576-7950	(601) 576-7950	(601) 354-7471	(601) 354-7471
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		493	493	1,100

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MS

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free     "Hotline" Telephone     Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's     Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [Sec. 506(A)(1)]

[SEC. 506(A)(1)] STATE: MS

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters

The Mississippi State Department of Health (MSDH) is the state agency responsible for administering the Title V Program in Mississippi. MCH Block Grant funds are allocated in the MSDH central office to the Office of Women's Health, and the Office of Child/Adolescent Health. The Children's Medical Program, which is the program of services for Children With Special Health Care Needs, is located organizationally within Health Services (HS), which is responsible for all maternal and child health functions. These two HS Offices provide services for the three major populations targeted by the MCH Block Grant: pregnant women, infants, children and adolescents, and children with special health care needs. Health Services is also responsible for administering the statewide Family Planning program and the Women, Infants and Children Supplemental Food Program (WIC).

Block Grant Funds			
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	10,537,408	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	7,949,273	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$	0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$	0	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$	0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$	18,486,681	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	_	The University o	of Mississippi Medical Center Jackson Medical Mall
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)  a. Pregnant Women	_	26,158	
b. Infants < 1 year old		42,321	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old		41,737	
d. CSHCN		2,978	
e. Others		114,029	

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

#### a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The MSDH MCH programs are often the first point of entry into the health care system for many women and children. MSDH maternity services are carried out in areas where there are gaps in providers, resulting in approximately 28% of the women who gave birth in Mississippi receiving services in the health department. The CSHCN provides regional clinic services throughout the state. Since the demise of HealthMACS, the Mississippi Medicaid Managed Care Program, EPSDT screenings statewide are being conducted by the MSDH and the percent of children being screened is rising. MSDH also serves many Mississippi children and adolescents through the provision of 315 school nurses who provide an array of services such as tobacco prevention activities and EPSDT screenings. The MSDH partners with the University of Mississippi Medical Center School of Dentistry to provide sealants to children in the Mississippi Delta area. Enabling services consist of case management services of pregnant women and infants through the Pregnancy High Risk Management Program (PHRM), for special needs children through the Children's Medical Program (CMP) and Genetics, and for infants and toddlers with special developmental needs and their families through the FIrst Steps Early Intervention Program. Genetics supports approximately 7 genetics satellite clinics and 5 sickle cell satellite clinics strategically located in the state to make services more accessible for patients and families. The special supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) complements all MCH programs by serving as a catelyst to bring mothers and their children into the integrated health care system. WIC services are provided by MSDH in all 82 counties. In addition, translator services are available statewide and the Mississippi Medicaid program provides statewide transportation services.

#### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters

Mississippi continues to expand in population-based services. MCH supported staff in county health departments who provide approximately 80% of the immunizations in Mississippi. Mississippi was one of two southern states to earn national recognition for coverage in basic immunizations. The Division of Genetics Services completed completed Mississippi's first annual Birth Defects Report consisting of confirmed birth defects for the year 2000. Genetics Services also expanded form 5 to 40 disorders, providing babies born in Mississippi the most extensive genetic screening of any state program. Newborn hearing screening is conducted among infants born in Mississippi, and infants with confirmed hearing losses receive follow-up services and are referred to First Steps Early Intervention Program. Lead screening is occurring with a primary focus of serving children on Medicaid. Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) counseling is carried out statewide by trained staff such as social workers and nurses.

#### c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Mississippi is growing in terms of infrastructure building. A cooperative agreement between the MSDH and the Mississippi Primary Health Care Association (MPHCA) provides a mechanism for joint perinatal planning and provider education between the state MCH Program and the 21 Community Health Centers in the State. Perinatal providers are placed in communities of greatest need through a joint decision-making process of the MPHCA and the MSDH's Office of Primary Care Development. The need for systematic planning for oral health has resulted in the hiring of a full-time Public Health Dentist who is working with the statewide Oral Health Advisory Committee. This committee is developing strategies to improve oral health care for all Mississispipi children. Other infrastructure building activities include assigning the MSDH Deputy Director to monitor organizational quality and the development of standards for public health practice. The MSDH Office of Science and Evaluation will promote agency-wide data driven policy and programs and offer collaborative opportunities for scientific work with the MCH Data Unit. The MSDH is strengthening data systems by upgrading the vital records operating system, linking the new birth/death files with program files, adding systems such as the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), enhancing the WIC data

system, and strengthening data systems in all MCH programs. The planning process continues to improve through mechanisms such as using Perinatal Periods of Risk to review contributors to infant death. 12. The primary Title V Program contact person: 13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person: Daniel R. Bender Lawrence Clark Name Name Director of Health Services Director of the Children's Medical Program Title Title Mississippi State Department of Health 570 E. Woodro Children's Medical Program, P. O Box 1700 Address Address Jackson Jackson City City MS MS State State 39215-1700 39215-1700 Zip Zip Phone (601)576-7472 (601) 987-3965 Phone (601) 576-7825 (601) 987-5560 Fax Fax dbender@msdh.state.ms.us lawrence.clark@msdh.state.ms.us Email Email Web www.msdh.state.ms.us Web www.msdh.state.ms.us

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MS

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				99.8	99.6
Annual Indicator	100.0	99.4	100.0	99.9	99.4
Numerator	44,075	42,039	41,511	41,295	41,219
Denominator	44,075	42,277	41,511	41,316	41,488
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	99.7	99.8	99.9	99.9	99.9
Annual Indicator	Place fill in only th	a Objectives for the a	boyo yoars Numorat	or Donominator and	Annual Indicators are
Numerator	not required for futu		ibove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Allitual filulcators are
Denominator	•	-			

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	bjective and Perforn	nance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				44.5	47.5
Annual Indicator			41.5	41.5	41.5
Numerator			147	147	147
Denominator			354	354	354
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

		Annual Obj	jective and Perfor	mance Data	
20	005 20	06 2	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	50.5	53.5	56.5	56.7	56.7

**Annual Indicator** 

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03									
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	d, ongoing					`	N Survey)	
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Dat 2003	<u>a</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective				2002		2003	46.2	2004	48.2
					44.2		44.2		44.2
							312		312
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		-			706	Final	706	Final	700
is the Data Provisional or Final?						rinai		rinai	
			Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Dat	а		
	2005	2006		2007		2008	<u></u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	50.2		52.2		54.2		54.2		54.
Annual Indicator									
	Please fill in only th	e Objective	es for the a	above vears	Numerat	or Denomi	nator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator	not required for fut	0 00,000		abo 10 , oa. o		0., 200			
	not reduired for full	ıre vear da	ta.						
Denominator	not required for futu	ıre year da	ta.						
Denominator	not required for futt	ıre year da	ta.						
Denominator	not required for rutt	ire year da	ta.						
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04				or public insu	urance to u	nay for the	services th	nev need ((	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who				or public insu	urance to p	pay for the	services th	ney need. ((	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04  The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who			vate and/o	or public insu	•			ney need. ((	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)	ose families have ac	dequate pri	vate and/o		•			ney need. (0	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	ose families have ac	dequate pri	vate and/o	Objective a	•	mance Dat			CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate pri	vate and/o	Objective a	•	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		CSHCN 57.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate pri	vate and/o	Objective at 2002	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u> 54.7		57. 51.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04  The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	2001	vate and/o	Objective at 2002	nd Perfor	mance Dat	54.7 51.7		57. 51.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	ose families have ac	2001	vate and/o	Objective at 2002	51.7 370	mance Dat	54.7 51.7 370		57.: 51.: 370
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	ose families have ad	2001	vate and/o	Objective at 2002	51.7 370	2003	54.7 51.7 370	2004	57. 51.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	ose families have ad	2001	vate and/o	2002  2002  Dbjective a	51.7 370 715	mance Date 2003 Final	54.7 51.7 370 715	2004 Final	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	2001	vate and/o	2002	51.7 370 715	mance Date 2003	54.7 51.7 370 715	2004	57. 51. 37/ 71:
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04  The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	2001	vate and/o	2002  2002  Dbjective a	51.7 370 715	mance Date 2003 Final	54.7 51.7 370 715	2004 Final	57. 51.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  2005  60.7	2001 2006	Annual C	2002  2002  Dbjective all 2007	51.7 370 715 and Perfor	Final  mance Date  2003	54.7 51.7 370 715 <b>a</b>	2004 Final	57 51 37 71
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	2000  2005  60.7	2001 2006 e Objective	Annual C	2002  2002  Dbjective all 2007	51.7 370 715 and Perfor	Final  mance Date  2003	54.7 51.7 370 715 <b>a</b>	2004 Final	577 51 33 72

00	2001	nual Objective ar 2002	<u>d Perfori</u>	nance Data 2003	<u>a</u>	2004	
				2003	_	2004	
					70.9		72.9
			68.8		68.8		68.8
			245	-	245		245
			356		356		356
				Final		Final	
_			d Perforr		<u>a</u>		
74.0			70.0	2008	70.0	2009	70
74.9	-	76.9	78.9		78.9		78.
services necessa	rv to make tra	ensition to all aspe	cts of adu	t life. (CSH	CN Surve		
	•	•				V)	
		iluai Objective ai	d Perfori	nance Data		у)	
00	2001	2002	d Perfori	nance Data 2003		y) <b>2004</b>	
00		2002	d Perfori				13.
-		2002	10.6		<u>a</u>		
		2002			9.8		10.
		2002	10.6		9.8		10. 1
		2002	10.6		9.8 10.6 10		13.5 10.6 10
		2002	10.6 10 94	2003 Final	9.8 10.6 10 94	2004	10.0
		2002	10.6 10 94	2003 Final	9.8 10.6 10 94	2004	10.0
	74.9 ase fill in only the required for futur	74.9  ase fill in only the Objectives for required for future year data.  services necessary to make tra	5 2006 2007 74.9 76.9 ase fill in only the Objectives for the above years. required for future year data.	5 2006 2007  74.9 76.9 78.9  ase fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator	5 2006 2007 2008  74.9 76.9 78.9  ase fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denomir required for future year data.	74.9 76.9 78.9 78.9 ase fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and required for future year data.	Annual Objective and Performance Data  5 2006 2007 2008 2009  74.9 76.9 78.9 78.9  ase fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indi

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07								
Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ago Haemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate immu	nizations a	against Mea	sles, Mumps, Rub	ella, Polio, Di	phtheria, Te	etanus, Pe	rtussis,
			Annual C	Objective and Per	ormance Da	ata .		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	84.5		86	8	7	88		8
Annual Indicator	85.5		85.5	89.	9	87.1		87.
Numerator				80	3	783		79
Denominator				89	3	899		90
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Per		ata		
Assembly Destruction Control	2005	2006	20.1	2007	2008	20.2	2009	00
Annual Performance Objective	90		90.1	90.		90.2		90.
Annual Indicator								
Nomenates	Please fill in only t	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Nume	rator, Denor	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator	not required for fut			above years. Nume	rator, Denor	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut			above years. Nume	rator, Denor	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
	not required for fut			above years. Nume	rator, Denor	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Denominator	not required for fut			above years. Nume	rator, Denor	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for fut		ata.	above years. Nume	<u> </u>		Annual Inc	dicators
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for fut		ata.		<u> </u>		Annual Inc	dicators
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for fut	ure yéar d	ata.	Objective and Per	ormance Da			dicators 36.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required for fut  2000  44	ure yéar d	ata.  Annual C	Objective and Per	ormance D: 2003	ata		36.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 44 44.3	ure yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Per 2002 37.	Formance Day 2003	ata 38.2		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 44 44.3 2,929	ure yéar d	Annual C 37 38.4	Dbjective and Per 2002 37.	2003 2003 2	38.2 35.4		36. 35. 2,25
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 44 44.3 2,929 66,165	ure yéar d	Annual C 37 38.4 2,542	2002 37. 2,38	2003 2003 2	38.2 35.4 2,217		36. 35. 2,25 62,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 44 44.3 2,929 66,165	ure yéar d	Annual C 37 38.4 2,542	2002 37. 2,38	2003 27	38.2 35.4 2,217	2004	36 35 2,25 62,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 44 44.3 2,929 66,165	ure yéar d	Annual C  37  38.4  2,542  66,165	2002 37. 2,38	2003 2003 2 7 6 1 Final	38.2 35.4 2,217 62,706	2004	36 35 2,25 62,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 44 44.3 2,929 66,165	ure yéar d	Annual C  37  38.4  2,542  66,165	2002 37. 37. 2,38 63,32	2003 2003 2 7 6 1 Final	38.2 35.4 2,217 62,706	2004	36. 35. 2,25 62,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 44 44.3 2,929 66,165	2001	Annual C  37  38.4  2,542  66,165	2002  37.  37.  2,38  63,32  Objective and Per	Final  formance Day  2003  7  Final  formance Day  2008	38.2 35.4 2,217 62,706	2004 Provision	36. 35. 2,25 62,70

rcent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one po	ımanent m	oiai tootii.						
			Annual (	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	17		17		17		17		2
Annual Indicator	17		17		17		17		1
Numerator									
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual (	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	4b - Obi4i	ves for the a		30 . Numerat	tor, Denom	30 ninator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a	above years		tor, Denom		Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a lata.	above years en. Objective ar	. Numera	mance Da	ninator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year of hes per 100	ves for the a data. 0,000 childre	above years	. Numerat		ninator and	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year o hes per 100	ves for the a data.  0,000 childre  Annual 0	en.  2002	Numerated Numera	mance Da	ninator and		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for function vehicle crass 2000	the Objecti uture year of hes per 100	ves for the a data.  2,000 childre  Annual 6  9.1  8.5	en.  Objective at 2002	. Numerat	mance Da	ninator and		8 8
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for formation vehicle crass 2000	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a data.  2,000 childre  Annual 6  9.1  8.5	en. 2002	Numerated Numera	mance Da	8.4 9.4 56		8.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for function vehicle crass 2000	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a data.  2,000 childre  Annual 6  9.1  8.5	en.  2002	nd Perfor	mance Da	ninator and  1ta  8.4  9.4	2004	8.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for for required for for for the following states and the following states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the secon	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a lata.  0,000 childre  Annual 6  9.1  8.5	en. 2002	8.6 8.9	mance Da	8.4 9.4 56	2004	8. 8. 5 595,23
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for for required for for for the following states and the following states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the secon	the Objecti uture year o	9.1 8.5 598,809	en. 2002	8.6 8.9 56 831,139	mance Da 2003 Final	8.4 9.4 56 595,238	2004	8. 8. 5. 595,23
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for for required for for for the following states and the following states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the second states are states as a second state of the secon	the Objecti uture year o	9.1 8.5 598,809	en.  2002	8.6 8.9 56 831,139	mance Da 2003 Final	8.4 9.4 56 595,238	2004	8. 8. 5. 595,23

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		50	53	55	5
Annual Indicator	48.8	50.4	52.4	45.2	47.4
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
	2005	Annual ( 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		61	63	65	2009
Annual Indicator			<u> </u>		
	Diagon fill in anhy th	o Objectives for the	. I		
		ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for fut	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for fut	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for fut- hospital discharge.	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for fut hospital discharge.	Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 99.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	hospital discharge.  2000  98.5  94.4	Annual 6 2001 98.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 98.5	mance Data 2003 99	<b>2004</b> 99. 96.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	hospital discharge.  2000  98.5  94.4  41,611	Annual 6 2001 98.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 98.5 96.1	mance Data 2003 99 96.4	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	not required for fut hospital discharge. 2000 98.5 94.4 41,611 44,075	Annual 6 2001 98.5 96.0 40,599	Objective and Perfor 2002 98.5 96.1 39,899	mance Data 2003  99  96.4  40,778	2004 99. 96. 40,92
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for fut hospital discharge. 2000 98.5 94.4 41,611 44,075	Annual 6 2001 98.5 96.0 40,599	Objective and Perfor 2002 98.5 96.1 39,899	99 96.4 40,778	2004 99. 96. 40,92 42,32
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for fut hospital discharge. 2000 98.5 94.4 41,611 44,075	Annual ( 2001  98.5  96.0  40,599  42,277	Objective and Perfor 2002  98.5  96.1  39,899  41,511  Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  99  96.4  40,778  42,321  Final	2004 99. 96. 40,92 42,32 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut hospital discharge. 2000 98.5 94.4 41,611 44,075	Annual 6 2001 98.5 96.0 40,599 42,277  Annual 6	98.5 96.1 39,899 41,511  Objective and Perfor	99 96.4 40,778 42,321 Final mance Data 2008	2004 99. 96. 40,92 42,32 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut hospital discharge. 2000 98.5 94.4 41,611 44,075 2005	Annual ( 2001  98.5  96.0  40,599  42,277	Objective and Perfor 2002  98.5  96.1  39,899  41,511  Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  99  96.4  40,778  42,321  Final	2004 99. 96. 40,92 42,32 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	not required for futth hospital discharge.  2000  98.5  94.4  41,611  44,075  2005  99.5	Annual (2001 98.5 96.0 40,599 42,277 Annual (2006 99.6	98.5 96.1 39,899 41,511  Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  99  96.4  40,778  42,321  Final  mance Data 2008  99.7	2004 99. 96. 40,92 42,32 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13									
Percent of children without health insurance.									
			Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor		<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			14		15	-	11.5	-	14.5
Annual Indicator	14.1		15		15		12		10.8
Numerator				-					
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisio	nal
			A	SI !	I D				
	2005	2006	<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and 2007	Pertor	<u>mance Da</u> 2008	<u>ta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	10.4	200.	10.3	2000	10.2	2000	10.
·a. ·									
Annual Indicator									
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years.	Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. I	Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Inc	dicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. I	Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. I	Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure yéar d	ata.	above years. I	Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure yéar d	ata. Program.	above years. I				Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure yéar d	ata. Program.					Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000	ure year d	ata. Program.	Objective and		mance Da			
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000	ure yéar d  Medicaid F  2001	ata. Program. Annual (	Objective and 2002	d Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		7
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential o	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000	Medicaid F	orogram.  Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Da	t <b>a</b> 73.5		7 96.
Numerator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the poten	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72.1	Medicaid F	72.5 86.5	Objective and 2002	73 60.7	mance Da	73.5 92.6		7 96. 382,51
Numerator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the pote	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72.1 241,230 334,689	Medicaid F	72.5 86.5	Objective and 2002	73 60.7 46,960	mance Da	73.5 92.6 363,503		7: 96. 382,51 395,62
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72.1 241,230 334,689	Medicaid F	72.5 86.5 312,826 361,461	2002 2002 240	73 60.7 46,960 06,847	mance Date 2003	73.5 92.6 363,503 392,720	2004	7 96. 382,51 395,62
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential who have	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72.1 241,230 334,689	Medicaid F	72.5 86.5 312,826 361,461	2002 2002 24 40  Objective and	73 60.7 46,960 06,847	mance Date 2003 Final	73.5 92.6 363,503 392,720	2004 Provisio	7 96. 382,51 395,62
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially annual Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72.1 241,230 334,689	Medicaid F	72.5 86.5 312,826 361,461	2002 2002 240	73 60.7 46,960 06,847	mance Date 2003	73.5 92.6 363,503 392,720	2004	7 96. 382,51 395,62 nal
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72 72.1 241,230 334,689 2005 96.8	Medicaid F	72.5 86.5 312,826 361,461	2002 2002 24 40  Objective and	73 60.7 46,960 06,847	mance Date 2003 Final	73.5 92.6 363,503 392,720	2004 Provisio	7 96. 382,51 395,62
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potential potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potential	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut service paid by the 2000 72 72.1 241,230 334,689 2005 96.8	Medicaid F 2001 2006	ata.  Program.  Annual C  72.5  86.5  312,826  361,461  Annual C  96.8	2002  2002  240  2bjective and 2007	73 60.7 46,960 06,847	mance Date of the second secon	73.5 92.6 363,503 392,720 ta	2004  Provisio  2009	7 96. 382,51 395,62 nal

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>ata</u>		
	2000	2001	0.4	2002	2003	0.0	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			2.1	2.2		2.2		2.
Annual Indicator	2.2		2.1	2.2		2.3		2.
Numerator	971		882	931		963		96
Denominator	44,075		42,277	41,511		42,321		42,32
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
			A	Objective and Benfau	D	-4-		
	2005	2006	<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	<u>ata</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			2.2	2.1		2.1		
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denor	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denor	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denor	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denor	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denor	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectivure year d	ata.	above years. Numera			Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectivure year d	ata.				Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfor	rmance D			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance D	<u>ata</u>		9
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.6	rmance D	<u>ata</u> 7.6		9.
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	gh 19.  2000  8.9	2001	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 7.6 10.9	rmance D	7.6 6.0	2004	9.
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9  10.7  25  233,188	2001	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18	2002 7.6 10.9	rmance D	7.6 6.0	2004	9. 8. 1 222,22
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9  10.7  25  233,188	2001	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18	2002 7.6 10.9	mance D	7.6 6.0	2004	9. 8. 1 222,22
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9  10.7  25  233,188	2001	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18 233,188	2002 7.6 10.9	Final	7.6 6.0 13 216,778	2004 Provision	9. 8. 1 222,22
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the arrangement of the arrangement o	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9  10.7  25  233,188	2001	7.6 7.7 18 233,188	2002  7.6  10.9  24  219,992  Objective and Performance 2007	2003 Final	7.6 6.0 13 216,778	2004	9 8. 1 2222,22
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000). Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9  10.7  25  233,188	2001	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18 233,188	2002 7.6 10.9 24 219,992	Final	7.6 6.0 13 216,778	2004 Provision	9. 8. 1 222,22
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide death	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut gh 19.  2000  8.9  10.7  25  233,188  2005  7.9	2001 2006	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18 233,188  Annual C	2002 7.6 10.9 24 219,992  Objective and Perfor	Final  mance D  2003  Final  2008	7.6 6.0 13 216,778	2004 Provision	9. 8. 1 222,22 aal
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	2001 2006	Annual C 7.6 7.7 18 233,188  Annual C	2002  7.6  10.9  24  219,992  Objective and Performance 2007	Final  mance D  2003  Final  2008	7.6 6.0 13 216,778	2004 Provision	9 8 2222,2 aal

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17 ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and n	eonates.						
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	34.3		34.3	33.2		33		33
Annual Indicator	31.0		33.7	33.2		34.9		33.
Numerator	301		297	309		336		323
Denominator	971		882	931		963		96
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
			Annual (	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	34		34.2	34.5		34.8		3
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year d	lata.				Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first tr	ure year d	lata.	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da			icators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first tr	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfo		nta	Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  Frocent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first tr	rimester.	Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002 83.2	rmance Da	1 <b>ta</b> 84.1		85
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  roent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first trace 2000  81.7	rimester.	Annual C 82.7 82.2	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 83.2 83.1	rmance Da	84.1 84.3		85 85
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first tree 2000  81.7  80.8  35,634	rimester.	Annual C 82.7 82.2 34,760	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 83.2 83.1 34,501	rmance Da	84.1 84.3 35,663		85. 85. 36,01
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  Procent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first true 2000  81.7  80.8  35,634  44,075	rimester.	Annual C 82.7 82.2	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 83.2 83.1	rmance Da	84.1 84.3		85. 85. 36,01 42,32
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first true 2000  81.7  80.8  35,634  44,075	rimester.	Annual C 82.7 82.2 34,760	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 83.2 83.1 34,501	rmance Da 2003	84.1 84.3 35,663	2004	85 85 36,01 42,32
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first tree 2000 81.7 80.8 35,634 44,075	rimester.	82.7 82.2 34,760 42,277	2002  83.2  83.1  34,501  41,511   Objective and Perfo	rmance Da 2003 Final	84.1 84.3 35,663 42,321	2004 Provision	85. 85. 36,01 42,32
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first true 2000 81.7 80.8 35,634 44,075	rimester.	82.7 82.2 34,760 42,277	2002 83.2 83.1 34,501 41,511	rmance Da 2003 Final	84.1 84.3 35,663 42,321	2004	85. 85. 36,01 42,32

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
ercent of Children on Medicaid who Receive EPSDT Screening.					
	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		46	46.5	17	1
•	31.7	13.6	11.9	14.7	15.
Numerator		32,223		38,273	40,38
				259,836	261,83
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		230,302	209,555	Final	Provisional
is the Data Provisional of Piliar?				i iiiai	FIOVISIONAL
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	21	23	25	25.5	2
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	DI (01)	ha Objectives for the	ahovo voare Numora	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
	DI (01)	he Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera  Objective and Perfor		d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Annual 2001			d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for futions of the fill of the second seco	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  Trent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth (	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  rrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth (	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5	ure year data.  Annual 2001 29%	Objective and Perfor 2002 29 23.6	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 25
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  Trent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth O  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278	Objective and Perfor 2002 29 23.6 28,278	2003 22 25.0	2004 25 30,48
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  rrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth (  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278	Objective and Perfor 2002 29 23.6 28,278	2003 2003 22 25.0 30,491	
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  Irrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth O  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278	Objective and Perfor 2002 29 23.6 28,278	2003 22 25.0 30,491 122,038	2004 25. 30,49 122,03
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278 119,775	Objective and Perfor 2002 29 23.6 28,278 119,775	2003 22 25.0 30,491 122,038 Final	2004 25 30,48 122,03
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  rrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth O  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278 119,775 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002  29  23.6  28,278  119,775  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  22  25.0  30,491  122,038  Final  Tmance Data 2008	2004 25 30,48 122,03 Final
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  Irrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth (  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815  2005	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278 119,775	Objective and Perfor 2002  29  23.6  28,278  119,775  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  22  25.0  30,491  122,038  Final	2004 25 30,49 122,03 Final
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  Irrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth C  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815  2005	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278 119,775 Annual 2006 19	Objective and Perfor 2002  29  23.6  28,278  119,775  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  22  25.0  30,491  122,038  Final  Tmance Data 2008  18	2004 25 30,49 122,03 Final
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  rrent Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth (  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  Graders.  2000  29%  32.5  42,515  130,815  2005  Please fill in only the notice of the notice	Annual 2001 29% 23.6 28,278 119,775 Annual 2006 19	Objective and Perfor 2002  29  23.6  28,278  119,775  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  22  25.0  30,491  122,038  Final  Tmance Data 2008  18	2004  23  30,4  122,0  Final  2009

moking Among Pregnant Adolescents					
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	12	11.5	11.4	11.3	1
Annual Indicator	12.3	12.3	12.5	12.3	12.
Numerator	1,014	929	897	830	84
Denominator	8,266	7,536	7,152	6,769	6,76
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<b>A</b>	Objective and Berten	Data	
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		12.8		13.1	
Annual Indicator					
Annual indicator					
		on Objectives for the	above vears. Numera	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
		ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	2004
Numerator  Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  cent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage	Please fill in only the not required for future gement Services.  2000	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  recent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the notion of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the not required for future of the please fill in only the notion of the notion of the please fill in only the notion of t	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 93.7	rmance Data 2003 96	<b>2004</b> 99
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  recent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future gement Services.  2000  90  85.8  2,847	Annual 2001 90	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 93.7 2,749	7mance Data 2003 96 98.2	2004 99 2,97
Numerator  Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  recent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future of the properties	Annual 2001 90 94.8 4,010	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 93.7 2,749	7mance Data 2003 96 98.2 3,060	2004 9 99. 2,97
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future of the properties	Annual 2001 90 94.8 4,010 4,228	Objective and Perfor 2002  95  93.7  2,749  2,935	96 98.2 3,060 3,117	2004 99. 2,97 2,99
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Incent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future of the properties	Annual 2001 90 94.8 4,010 4,228	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 93.7 2,749	96 98.2 3,060 3,117	2004 99. 2,97 2,99
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future of required for future of the second s	Annual 2001 90 94.8 4,010 4,228	Objective and Perfor 2002  95  93.7  2,749  2,935  Objective and Perfor 2007	96 98.2 3,060 3,117 Final	2004 9 99. 2,97 2,99 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  Percent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Manage  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future of required for future of the second s	Annual 2001 90 94.8 4,010 4,228 Annual 2006 98.5	Objective and Perfor 2002  95  93.7  2,749  2,935  Objective and Perfor 2007  98.5	7mance Data 2003  96  98.2  3,060  3,117  Final  7mance Data 2008  98.5	2004 99. 2,97 2,99 Provisional 2009
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future of required for future of the second s	Annual 2001 90 94.8 4,010 4,228 Annual 2006 98.5	Objective and Perfor 2002  95  93.7  2,749  2,935  Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003  96  98.2  3,060  3,117  Final  7mance Data 2008  98.5	2004 99 2,91 2,99 Provisional

nfants Screened and Referred for Hearing Impairment Greater Than	or Equal to 35 dB nl	HL Will Receive Appre	opriate Follow-up and	Intervention Upon Ho	ospital Discharge.
			Objective and Perfor		244
AI.B. of	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		80	75	80	85
Annual Indicator		64.3	79.4	96.4	98.4
Numerator	39	257	316	40,778	40,81
Denominator	48	400	398	42,321	41,488
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	90	95	98	98	98.
Annual Indicator	Disease fill in annu th	· Ohio - this on for the	I a serve and Museum area	· · Dinator and	A Indiantors
Numerator	Please fill in only if	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.			
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6					
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6			Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	2000		Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	2000	Annual (			
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 revalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	2002	2003	4.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 revalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 4.6	Annual 0 2001 4.7	2002	2003	3.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 revalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 4.6 5.2 23	Annual 0 2001 4.7 4.7	3 3.1	2003 3 2.1	3.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Prevalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 4.6 5.2 23	Annual C 2001 4.7 4.7 20	3 3.1 13	2003 3 2.1 9	3.9
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Prevalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 4.6 5.2 23	Annual C 2001 4.7 4.7 20	3 3.1 13	2003 3 2.1 9 42,321	4.1 3.9 41,488
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Prevalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 4.6 5.2 23	Annual C 2001 4.7 4.7 20 42,277	3 3.1 13	2003  2.1  9  42,321  Final	4.6 3.9 16 41,488
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 revalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 4.6 5.2 23	Annual C 2001 4.7 4.7 20 42,277	3 3.1 13 41,511	2003  2.1  9  42,321  Final	4.1 3.9 41,488
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 revalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 4.6 5.2 23 44,075	Annual C  2001  4.7  4.7  20  42,277	2002  3 3.1 13 41,511  Objective and Perfor	2003  2.1  9  42,321  Final	4. 3. 10 41,48i Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Prevalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4.6 5.2 23 44,075 2005 3.7	Annual (2001 4.7 4.7 20 42,277 Annual (2006 3.7	2002  3  3.1  13  41,511  Dbjective and Perfor 2007  3.7	2003  2.1  9  42,321  Final  mance Data 2008  3.7	4. 3. 1. 41,48 Provisional  2009
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Prevalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4.6 5.2 23 44,075 2005 3.7	Annual (2001 4.7 4.7 20 42,277 Annual (2006 3.7	2002  3  3.1  13  41,511  Dbjective and Perfor 2007  3.7	2003  3  2.1  9  42,321  Final  mance Data 2008	4 3 1 41,48 Provisional 2009

ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7					
e Rate of Repeat Birth (per 1000) for Adolescents Less Than 18 Ye	ears Old.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		13.9	13.6	13.1	13.
Annual Indicator	150.7	140.6	140.8	138.8	129.
Numerator	477	385	363	329	30
Denominator	3,165	2,738	2,578	2,371	2,37
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	12.5	12	11.6	11.2	10
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera		d Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruc	Please fill in only the not required for fut sture.	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut seture.	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut sture.	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b>
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut sture.	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003 16.4	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut sture.	Annual 2001 14	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003 16.4	2004 16
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut beture.  2000	Annual 2001 14	Objective and Perfor 2002 16 16 16	rmance Data 2003 16.4 12	2004 16.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut beture.  2000	Annual 2001 14 14	Objective and Perfor 2002 16 16 16	rmance Data 2003 16.4 12 12 16 Final	2004 16. 1
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut beture.  2000	Annual 2001 14 14	Objective and Perfor 2002 16 16 16	rmance Data 2003 16.4 12 12 16 Final	2004 16. 1
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 De Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastruct  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut sture.  2000  16	Annual 2001 14 16 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003 16.4 12 12 16 Final	2004 16. 1 1 Provisional

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Denominator is indicative of the genetics data base and the number of infants born in hospitals and screened. Therefore, the number of live births may differ from vital statistics information used in other areas of this report.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

The SLAITS survey definition of children with special health care needs is much more inclusive than the eligibility criteria for the state's CSHCN program. Efforts are made to ensure that all CMP enrollees receive coordinated, ongoing, and comprehensive care within a medical home. Access to specialty services is facilitated as indicated.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

S. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS and differs from the data or percent collected and reported by the state in the narrative for this measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

# 12. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

## 13. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

### 14. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

These data are generated from a 2 year old survey that does not provide a numerator or denominator.

Percentages, numerators and denominators are derived from a yearly survey conducted by the MDH Immunization department. For example, for the year 2002 MDH surveyed 949 children born in 1999. Out of that we were able to locate and review 893 immunization records. 89.9% of those children were complete at 2 yrs of age.

### 15. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The current Immunization Survey used captures data of children immunized up to 27 months old.

Percentages, numerators and denominators are derived from a yearly survey conducted by the MDH Immunization department. MDH surveyed 955 children born in 2000. Out of that we were able to locate and review 899 immunization records. 87.1% of those children were complete at 2 yrs of age. By sampling the immunization records of 19-35 month olds, the MDH is able to generate immunization rates consistently.

# 16. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Percentages, numerators and denominators are derived from a yearly survey conducted by the MDH Immunization department. MDH surveyed 970 children born in 2001. Out of that we were able to locate and review 909 immunization records. 87.8% of those children were complete at 2 yrs of age. By sampling the immunization records of 19-35 month olds, the MDH is able to generate immunization rates consistently.

# 17. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives were based on previous five year trend, and, thus, may not appear linear.

# 18. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

A statewide assessment of the presence of sealants among school children was conducted in 1999. This assessment has not been updated.

The annual performance objectives are based on data collected from the Jackson Metropolitan Area and not the entire state.

# 19. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for this measure were provided from the latest (1999) Clinical Oral Health Survey.

# 20. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for this measure were provided from the latest (1999) Clinical Oral Health Survey.

No numerators and denominators are given due to that information not being released from the agency that originally conducted the oral health survey. This information will be provided in the future.

# 21. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives were based on previous five year trend, and, thus, may not appear linear.

# 22. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11

**Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

These data are provided by the most recent "Ross Mother's Survey" periodically sent to a nationally representative sample of new mothers.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on growth in prior years of reporting. Generally, these data are provided by the most recent "Ross Mother's Survey" periodically sent to a nationally representative sample of new mothers.

Information gathered from the Ross Mother's Survey is given in percentages and numerators and denominators are not available.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

CY 2003 data for this measure were provided from a 2003 report on health status of children in Mississippi published by the Childrens Defense Fund.

Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

CY 2003 data for this measure were provided from a 2003 report on health status of children in Mississippi published by the Childrens Defense Fund.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Currently, data used to determine the number of children in Mississippi without health insurance are extracted from reports posted by the Children's Defense Fund.

Numerators and denominators are unavailable at this point in time.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were estimated for this measure because actual 2004 data are unavailable.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data based on information from the previous year.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Mississippi uses the RNDMU classification of hospitals. The highest level, A, (equivalent to level III) is a teaching hospital with full-time neonatologist, NICU, and both pediatric and obstetrical residency training programs. Level B hospitals cannot be included in the level A category but have a full-time neonatologist, NICU, and =>2

An additional 34% of very low birth weight infants are born at these level B hospitals.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

33. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2002

Field Note:

The percent of children who received EPSDT screening reported for CY 2001 does not include children under age six. The percent only reflects the number screened age 6-20. This was an effort to determine the number of school age children who are actually screened.

Definition of measure changed in 2001 annual report. Numerator and denominator only included children ages 6-20.

34. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

These data are provided by the Mississippi Division of Medicaid and are calculated based on the percent of eligibles age 1 to 20 years of age receiving any service. The MDH is making a concerted effort to increase EPSDT screening at local county health departments.

35. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

These data are estimated based on data from previous reports of the percent of eligibles age 1 to 20 years of age receiving any service.

66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Drop from 2000-2001 could be associated with programs stemming from the master settlement agreement with tobacco companies.

37. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Section Number: Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

These data were collected and provided by the agency's YRBS Data Survey, which is conducted bi-annually.

38. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference in the percent of children with genetic disorders who received case management services between 2001 and 2002, can be attributed to staff changes in the field coupled with the adding of additional screenings mandated by the Mississippi Legislature.

39. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

During 2004, procedures were installed by the Genetic Services program to ensure that babies who needed a repeat specimen were tested again before they were 6 months old and too old to be screened again. This raised our percentage from 98.2% in 2003 to 99.5% in 2004.

40. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This indicator changed from 1998 to 1999 creating a drastic difference between these two years. Since then, MSDH has been working on the data system to ensure more accuracy in terms of reporting of tracking and treatment. There have also been discrepancies among providers in terms of what appropriate intervention involves. The overall and the data systems are still developing.

41. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for this measure was estimated base of past reports.

42. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

These scores were developed using a scoring sheet which is available upon request by calling Ulysses Conley at (601) 576-7688.

43. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

These scores were developed using a scoring sheet which is available upon request by calling Ulysses Conley at (601) 576-7688

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MS

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01						
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.						
		<u> </u>	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	:	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	9.9		9.8	9.6	9.4	9.8
Annual Indicator	10.6		10.5	10.3	10.7	10.0
Numerator	468		443	428	453	42
Denominator	r 44,075		42,277	41,511	42,321	42,32
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1				Final	Provisional
		A	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9.9		9.8	9.7	9.6	9.
Annual Indicator						
Allidai ilidicatoli	Discourage Contract of		·			A
Numerator	not required for fut	ne Objectives	ior the abo	ove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual indicators
Denominator	, not required for fat	iaic year aata	•			
Denominator						
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02						
The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	rate.					
,		A	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001		2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	2.2					2004
			2.2	2	1.9	
Annual Indicator	2.3			2.1	2.3	2.
	2.3 r 15.1					2.
Numerator	15.1		2.1	2.1	2.3	2. 2. 15.
Numerator	15.1		2.1	2.1	2.3	2.
Numerator  Denominator	15.1		2.1	2.1	2.3 15.3 6.8	2. 2. 15. 6.
Numerator  Denominator	15.1		2.1 14.7 6.9	2.1 14.4 6.8 jective and Perfor	2.3 15.3 6.8 Provisional	2.
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	15.1 6.7	2006	2.1 14.7 6.9	2.1 14.4 6.8 jective and Performance	2.3 15.3 6.8 Provisional	2.: 2.: 15.:
Numerator  Denominator	15.1 6.7	2006	2.1 14.7 6.9	2.1 14.4 6.8 jective and Perfor	2.3 15.3 6.8 Provisional	2. 2. 15. 6. Provisional
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2005	2006	2.1 14.7 6.9 Annual Ob	2.1 14.4 6.8 jective and Perform 2007	2.3 15.3 6.8 Provisional mance Data 2008	2. 2. 15. 6. Provisional 2009

Denominator

Numerator
Numera

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
he neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			l Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	6	5.9	5.8	5.7	6.2
Annual Indicator	6.6	6.0	6.8	5.8	6.
Numerator	289	27	281	246	25
Denominator	44,075	42,27	41,511	42,321	42,32
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	6	5.9	5.8	5.8	5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ure yéar data.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ITCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure yéar data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data. Annua	I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000  3.9	ure year data.  Annua 2001	I Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b>
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000  3.9  4.1	ure year data.  Annua 2001 3.6	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2 3.5	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 3 3
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  3.9  4.1	Annua 2001 3.6	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2 9 3.5 6 147	7mance Data 2003 3 4.9	2004 3 3
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000  3.9  4.1  179  44,075	Annua 2001  3.6  3.6	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2 9 3.5 6 147	2003 3 4.9	2004 3. 3.
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  3.9  4.1  179  44,075	Annua 2001  3.3  160  42,27	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2 9 3.5 6 147	2003  3  4.9  207  42,321  Final	2004 3. 3. 16 42,32
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000  3.9  4.1  179  44,075	Annua 2001  3.3  160  42,27	3.2 3.5 41,511	2003  3  4.9  207  42,321  Final	2004 3. 3. 16 42,32
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  De postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  3.9  4.1  179  44,075	Annua 2001 3.4 42,27	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2 9 3.5 6 147 7 41,511	2003  3 4.9 207 42,321 Final	2004 3. 3. 16 42,32 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  3.9  4.1  179  44,075  2005	Annua 2001  3.3  160  42,27  Annua 2006  3.1  Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 3 3.2 9 3.5 6 147 7 41,511	7mance Data 2003  3 4.9 207 42,321 Final 7mance Data 2008 3.8	2004 3 3 16 42,32 Provisional 2009

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05 The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance D	ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	-	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	12.1		11.6	11.1		10.7		10.
Annual Indicator	13.0		12.1	11.9		11.3		10.
Numerator	578		514	499		482		46
Denominator	44,422		42,563	41,805		42,607		42,60
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				<del></del>	Final		Provision	al
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance D	ata		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	10.4		10	9.7		9.3		
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denor	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectiv ure year d	ata.				Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance D			icators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06  e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ne Objectivure year d	ata.				Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 te child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year d	ata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance D	<u>ata</u>		34
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 De child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 37.5	mance D	<b>ata</b> 37		34
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000  38.5  36.4  218	ure year d	Annual C  38  34.4	2002 37.5 37.1	mance D	37 33.4	2004	34. 34. 20
Numerator  Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 38.5 36.4 218 598,809	ure year d	Annual C  38  34.4  206	2002 37.5 37.1 218	mance D	37 33.4 197	2004	34. 34. 20 589,69
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 38.5 36.4 218 598,809	ure year d	38 34.4 206 598,809	2002 37.5 37.1 218	mance D 2003	37 33.4 197 589,691	2004	34. 34. 20 589,69
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 38.5 36.4 218 598,809	ure year d	38 34.4 206 598,809	2002 37.5 37.1 218 587,023	mance D 2003	37 33.4 197 589,691	2004	34. 34. 20 589,69
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  38.5  36.4  218  598,809	2001	38 34.4 206 598,809	2002  37.5  37.1  218  587,023	mance D. 2003 Final	37 33.4 197 589,691	2004 Provision	34. 34. 20 589,69

Denominator

Calendar year (CY) data for 2004 Outcome Measures 1-6 are currently unavailable, however, projection rates developed by the Mississippi Department of Health's Department of Health Informatics will be entered for CY 2004.

# FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data based on previous year's live birth rates.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data based on previous year's final data.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3 Field Name: OM03

Field Name: ON Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data based on previous year data and trend analysis.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data based on previous year data and trend analysis.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data based on previous year data and trend analysis.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MS 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 2 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: 13 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

**STATE: MS FY: 2006** 

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Reduce repeat teen births
- 2. Improve data collection capacity for Title V population
- 3. Explore coverace of asthma services for children
- 4. Increase EPSDT screening among children on Medicaid
- 5. Reduce the state's low birthweight rate and infant mortality rate
- 6. Develop plan to identify, gather data, and address issues related to maternal deaths
- 7. Decrease cigarette smoking among ninth through twelfth graders
- 8. Decrease the incidence of teen mortality and risk behaviors
- 9. Assure access to pediatric care for all children, including children with special health care needs
- 10. Decrease cigarette smoking among pregnant adolescents

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MS APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	Other If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
2.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
3.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
4.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
5.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
6.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
7.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
8.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
9.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
10.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested

measure number here: N/A			
Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this assue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this ssue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested	No assistance is being requested
	Other f you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue Performance measure to which this performance measure to which this performance measure to which this performance measure number here: N/A  Other f you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue Performance Measure Issue Performance measure to which this performance measure to which this performance measure to which this performance between the performance measure to which this performance measure to which the performance m	Dither f you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue Performance measure to which this passue pertains by entering the measure number here:  Dither f you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue Performance Measure Issue Performance measure to which this passue pertains by entering the	Other f you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue attegories above, identify the performance measure to which this saue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A  Other f you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue attegories above, identify the performance measure to which this saue pertains by entering the  No assistance is being requested  No assistance is being requested  No assistance is being requested  No assistance is being requested by assistance

No request for technical assistance is being made at this time.

# FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MS

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Children on Medicaid who Receive EPSDT Screening.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL To increase access to health care for children on Medicaid.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of children age 6-20 enrolled in Medicaid who have received an EPSDT screening during the past year.

Denominator:

Number of children 6-20 enrolled in Medicaid.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Data collection system to be established with the State Medicaid Agency.

SIGNIFICANCE

Through screening programs, health education, preventive services and community interaction, services are designed to meet the specific needs of high risk groups and to promote positive health enhancing behaviors in the community. Health education for elementary school children is taught by health educators. Programs are age/grade specific and designed to promote proper health behaviors, encourage proper nutrition, and teach hygiene. Preventive health programs are provided

to children identified by the screening program as being at high risk for disease.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Current Percent of Cigarette Smoking Among Ninth Through Twelfth Graders.

STATUS: Act

**GOAL** To decrease cigarrette smoking among 9-12 grade students.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of 9-12th grade public school students who report smoking cigarettes during the past 30 days.

Denominator:

The total of 9-12 grade public school students.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS).

SIGNIFICANCE Cigarette smoking is the single most preventable cause of death in the United States. It has been estimated that one in five

dealths is caused by tobacco use. Studies have shown the vast majority of smokers start before 18 years of age.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: **Smoking Among Pregnant Adolescents** 

STATUS: Active

GOAL To decrease cigarette smoking among pregnant adolescents

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:**The number of women less than 20 years old who report they smoke cigarettes during pregnancy

Denominator:

The total of women less than 20 who gave birth during the calendar year

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Data will be collected annually from birth certificate records.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Smoking during pregnancy is associated with infant mortality, low birthweight and intrauterine growth retardation as well as negative effects in childhood. Both nationally and in Mississippi we have seen a significant increase in smoking among pregnant women aged 15-19. Not only do we want to stop the increases, we want to decrease smoking among pregnant adolescents.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of Children With Genetic Disorders who Receive Case Management Services.

STATUS:

GOAL To provide case management services to children testing positive for genetic disorders to assure their enrollment in a

follow-up treatment program.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of children with genetic disorders provided with case management services.

Denominator:

The number of children identified with genetic disorders.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Council of Regional Networks for Genetics Services Newborn and Clinical Annual Report, Birth Defects Registry, March of **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Dimes Birth Defects Foundation and MSDH Chart Reviews.

Each year in the United States, an estimated 100,000 to 150,000 babies are born with major genetic birth defects. Children SIGNIFICANCE

with these defects account for about 25 percent to 30 percent of pediatric hospital admissions. The total costs for care of children with defects exceed \$1 billion annually.

SP#\_\_\_\_5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Infants Screened and Referred for Hearing Impairment Greater Than or Equal to 35 dB nHL Will Receive Appropriate

Follow-up and Intervention Upon Hospital Discharge.

STATUS: Ad

GOAL To provide case management to all infants identified with significant hearing loss to assure they receive appropriate follow-

up and intervention.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of infants with a significant hearing loss who are provided with case management services.

Denominator:

The number of infants identified with significant hearing loss.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Newborn Hearing Impairment Registry

SIGNIFICANCE Significant hearing loss impairs a child's ability to develop adequate language and communication skills.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Prevalence of Infants Born with Neural Tube Defects.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the prevalence at birth of neural tube defects (NTDs).

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:** Number of infants born with neural tube defects during the reporting year.

Denominator:

The total number of live births during the reporting year.

Units: 10000 Text: rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Birth Certificates.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

NTDs are among the most common birth defects contributing to significant infant morbidity and mortality in the U.S. One-half to two-thirds of NTDs can be prevented by daily folic acid supplementation in reproductive-age women. Preventing NTDs is, therefore, a major opportunity that could significantly reduce mortality and morbidity of babies and children. In 1999, of the 42,678 lives births in Mississippi, 20 were reported to have been born with a neural tube defect (3.0 per 10,000 live births in Mississippi).

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The Rate of Repeat Birth (per 1000) for Adolescents Less Than 18 Years Old.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the rate of repeat births among teenagers.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator: Number of repeat live births to adolescents aged less than 18 years of age during the reporting period.

Denominator:

The number of live births to adolescents less than 18 years old during the reporting period.

Units: 1000 Text: rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

MSDH Vital Statistics data on mother's age and births.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Mississippi continues to lead the nation in the percentage of births to adolescents. In 1998, of the 8,598 births to adolescents in the state, 2,095 (24.4%) were repeat births. Adolescent parenting is associated with the lack of high school completion and initiating a cycle of poverty for mothers.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The Degree to Which the MCH Program is Developing Data Infrastructure.

Active STATUS:

GOAL

To develop a data infrastructure with the capacity to produce appropriate, timely, and accurate MCH data.

**DEFINITION** There are 5 data functions with specific activities relating to each function. The five functions are MCH Surveillance, Needs Assessment, Monitoring, Program Evaluation, and Epidemiologic Analysis. The score reflects how Mississippi is growing in

terms of its MCH data infrastructure. For each function, scores of the questions marked with astericks are averaged. Because each function has equal weight, the averages are summed for a total score. The grading scale is based on a percentage of the total possible score: 90-100% of 20 = 18-20 Excellent 80-89% of 20 = 16-17 Good 70-79% of 20 = 14-15

fair <69% of 20 = <14 poor

Numerator: None

Denominator:

None

Units: 16 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** MCH statewide data such as vital statistics, Block Grant performance indicators, surveillance programs, and epidemiologic

By improving the infrastructure of MCH, a stronger foundation for statewide health services is created. Mississippi's ability to assure access to policy and programmatic information is critical. The health of the MCH population is dependent on quality data and agency capacity to collect, interpret, and use these data to create appropriate intervention. SIGNIFICANCE

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MS

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	NaN	967.3	262.3	254.2	242.1
Numerator	0	9,673	2,623	2,542	2,421
Denominator	0	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

# **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	59.6	76.0	97.0	60.9	68.6
Numerator	20,548	26,742	34,623	48,990	54,829
Denominator	34,451	35,194	35,679	80,456	79,869
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

# **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

			<b>Annual Indicator D</b>	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	62.5	70.2	74.6	75.5	76.3
Numerator	10	191	554	542	546
Denominator	16	272	743	718	716
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

# HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	80.2	83.3	83.3	86.3	84.1
Numerator	35,158	35,025	34,407	36,363	35,635
Denominator	43,821	42,055	41,284	42,136	42,372
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

				<b>Annual Indicator Da</b>	<u>ata</u>	
	20	000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	Annual Indicator	NaN	37.8	39.7	41.7	43.6
	Numerator	0	31,683	32,808	34,409	36,42
	Denominator	0	83,712	82,666	82,555	83,629
Is the Dat	a Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

26,204

26,204

Numerator

Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

19,601

19,601

17,330

17,330

Final

18,784

18,784

Provisional

18,366

18,366

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The MSDH is currently implementing an asthma surveillance project in which data was collected from the Jackson Metropolitan area. These data were used to estimate the prevalence of asthma in the state. The project began July 2002, and will end June 2004. The MSDH is considering the implementation of a statewide project, which will be determined by the availability of fund. Because the asthma project is new with limited data, projections are difficult to make at this time.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The MSDH recently received funding from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and is in the process of developing a statewide asthma surveillance system, establishing collaborative partnerships, creating an asthma coalition, and developing a comprehensive State Asthma Plan.

2001 indicator data were calculated per 100,000 children living in the Jackson Metropolitan Area over a period of four years. However, the 2002 data were calculated per 100,000 children living in the same area, but over a one (1) year period, which accounts for the change between the reporting periods.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

an estimate for this measure was determined by using past reports.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The percent reported for Health System Capacity Indicator # 2 in 2000 is accurate, but for 2001 data was brought forward from the previous year because we were unable to secure data.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data were provided by the Mississippi Division of Medicaid.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

An estimate for this measure was determine based on previously reported data.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The percent reported for Health Systems Capacity Indicator # 3 is accurate but only reflects data available at the initial start of the collection of these data by the state's Medicaid program during the reporting period. As the process for the collection of their data improved, a more accurate record of those receiving service was reported.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

CY 2004 data is an estimate base on previous data provided from the Mississippi Department of Medicaid.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data are currently unavailable. Data will be mailed to MCHB when available.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on projections developed by the MDH's Vital Statistics Department.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data were provided by the Division of Medicaid.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

An estimate for this measure was determine from previously reported data.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This number represents the latest data available for the Social Security Administration for FY 2001.

/2004/ The CSHCN Program has maintained ongoing communication with the state Medicaid agency to expand services for children with special needs and to ensure that eligible children receive the maximum level of service available, including SCHIP participation by CSHCN. The CMP also maintains dialogue with the State Disability Determination Services unit and the Social Security Administration to help ensure a maximum level of services for all eligible children through these agencies. The CMP maintains a memorandum of understanding with the State Disability Determination Services Unit which includes exchange of eligibility information and cross referral of clients. //2004//

14. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

These data are provided by SSI indicating the number of SSI beneficaries less than 16 years old in the state. The Children's Medical Program (CMP) staff maintains an ongoing relationship with the Social Security Administration and the State Disability Determination Services to facilitate the referral process to CMP for children and families potentially eligible for the program. The CMP collaborates with Medicaid, Social Security Administration and other third party payors to ensure access to needed services for children with special health care needs.

Each SSI beneficary is made aware of CMP, eligilibility criteria, and covered services. All beneficaries are encouraged to apply for CMP services. However, all SSI beneficaries may not directly receive rehabilitative services through the CSHCN program due to differences in eligibility criteria for program enrollment. Some degree of case management/care coordination is offered to all SSI beneficaries.

15. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

These data are provided by SSI indicating the number of SSI beneficaries less than 16 years old in the state. The Children's Medical Program (CMP) staff maintains an ongoing relationship with the Social Security Administration and the State Disability Determination Services to facilitate the referral process to CMP for children and families potentially eligible for the program. The CMP collaborates with Medicaid, Social Security Administration and other third party payors to ensure access to needed services for children with special health care needs.

Each SSI beneficary is made aware of CMP, eligilibility criteria, and covered services. All beneficaries are encouraged to apply for CMP services. However, all SSI beneficaries may not directly receive rehabilitative services through the CSHCN program due to differences in eligibility criteria for program enrollment. Some degree of case management/care coordination is offered to all SSI beneficaries.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MS

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15			POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	65	35	11.5
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	63	37	10.7
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	49	51	85.1
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	51	49	84.1

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: MS

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 19) (Age range to )	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	185

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MS

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	
2004	200 200
2004	
	2004

These data were estimated based on projections developed by MDH's Department of Health Informatics

# FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MS

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	1	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes
41 4 11		

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

# FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MS

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other:		

# HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	2	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

# \*Where:

- 1 No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

# Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MS

		SIAIE	. IVIS					
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A								
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.								
					Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>		
	2000		2001		2002	2003	2	004
Annual Indicator		10.7		NaN	NaN		11.5	11.4
Numerator	·	4,701		0	0	4	,858	4,740
Denominator	r	44,075		0	0	42	,321	41,488
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final	Р	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B								
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.								
					Annual Indicator Da	ata		
	2000		2001		2002	2003		004
Annual Indicator		8.9		NaN	NaN		9.5	9.3
Numerator		3,797		0	0	3	,895	3,795
Denominator	r	42,681		0	0	40	,921	40,823
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final	Р	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	2000	2.2	2001	NaN	Annual Indicator Da 2002 NaN	<u>ata</u> 2003	<b>2</b>	. <b>004</b> 2.3
Numerator	r	971		0	0		963	954
Denominator	·	44,075		0	0	42	,321	41,488
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,					Final	Р	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	2000		2001		Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003		004
Annual Indicator	·	1.8		NaN	NaN		1.8	1.8
Numerator	r	759		0	0		759	749
Denominato		42,681		0	0	42	,321	40,823
		,		9			,	.5,020

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

he death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among childr	en aged 14 years ar	nd younger.			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	20.0	NaN	11,808.1	7,796.6	6,194.7
Numerator	128	0	32	23	21
Denominator	640,026	0	271	295	339
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
ne death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	aged 14 years and y	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	9.1	NaN	12,087.9	7,489.0	6,923.1
Numerator	58	0	22	17	18
Denominator	640,026	0	182	227	260
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C			ough 24 years.  Annual Indicator December 2002		Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	Annual Indicator Da	ata	2004
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 NaN	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	<b>2004</b> 44.3
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version of the control of the contro	2000 50.7 226	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 NaN	Annual Indicator Da 2002 NaN	ata 2003 43.5	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	•	-4-	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	8,772.7	NaN	12,148.0	12,422.7	12,943.1
Numerator	213	0	509	683	1,048
	2,428		4,190	5,498	8,097
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C e rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years			
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	28,789.1	NaN	31,002.4	31,193.2	31,419.
Numerator	699	0	1,299	1,715	2,54
	2,428		4,190	5,498	8,09
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A			Annual Indicator D		Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A		2001	Annual Indicator Da		Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.	<b>2001</b> NaN	-	ata	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	NaN	2002	ata 2003	2004 49.9
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	case of chlamydia.	NaN 0	2002 NaN	ata 2003 35.4	<b>2004</b> 49.
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 36.3 4,150	NaN 0	2002 NaN 0	ata 2003 35.4 4,202	<b>2004</b> 49. 5,91
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 36.3 4,150 114,460	NaN 0	NaN 0	ata 2003 35.4 4,202 118,728 Final	2004 49.5 5,911 118,72
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 36.3 4,150 114,460	NaN 0	2002 NaN 0	ata 2003 35.4 4,202 118,728 Final	2004 49.9 5,919 118,728
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  36.3  4,150  114,460  case of chlamydia.	NaN 0 0	NaN 0 0 Annual Indicator D	ata 2003  35.4  4,202  118,728  Final	2004 49.5 5,919 118,728 Final
Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  BEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 36.3 4,150 114,460 case of chlamydia.	NaN 0 0	NaN 0 0 Annual Indicator Day	ata 2003 35.4 4,202 118,728 Final	2004 49.9 5,919 118,728 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on projections developed by the MDH's Vital Statistics Department.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on projections developed by the MDH's Vital Statistics Department.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on projections developed by the MDH's Vital Statistics Department.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B Field Name: HSI02B

Field Name: HSI0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on projections developed by the MDH's Vital Statistics Department.

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was estimated based on projections developed by the MDH's Vital Statistics Department.

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	43,412	22,341	19,902	238	342	7	582	0
Children 1 through 4	167,138	87,683	75,236	906	1,195	36	2,082	0
Children 5 through 9	203,507	106,562	92,298	1,006	1,528	52	2,061	0
Children 10 through 14	219,046	114,458	100,181	1,213	1,487	66	1,641	0
Children 15 through 19	216,778	115,545	96,947	1,202	1,534	64	1,486	0
Children 20 through 24	233,892	126,979	102,120	1,122	2,118	94	1,459	0
Children 0 through 24	1,083,773	573,568	486,684	5,687	8,204	319	9,311	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	IIC ETHNICITY Total NOT Hispanic or Latino		Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	42,697	715	0	
Children 1 through 4	164,085	3,053	0	
Children 5 through 9	199,927	3,580	0	
Children 10 through 14	215,786	3,260	0	
Children 15 through 19	213,560	3,218	0	
Children 20 through 24	228,991	4,901	0	
Children 0 through 24	1,065,046	18,727	0	

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	154	26	128	0	0	0	0	0
Women 15 through 17	2,217	802	1,394	18	3	0	0	0
Women 18 through 19	4,398	1,924	2,415	44	14	1	0	0
Women 20 through 34	32,394	18,404	13,446	205	305	34	0	0
Women 35 or older	3,158	1,969	1,085	19	68	17	0	0
Women of all ages	42,321	23,125	18,468	286	390	52	0	0

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	150	1	3
Women 15 through 17	2,131	37	49
Women 18 through 19	4,237	87	74
Women 20 through 34	29,782	715	1,890
Women 35 or older	2,828	69	261
Women of all ages	39,128	909	2,277

STATE: MS

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a State Projection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional
CATEGORY		

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	453	158	283	7	3	1	0	1
Children 1 through 4	88	38	50	0	0	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	44	22	22	0	0	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	65	35	29	1	0	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	192	114	68	3	7	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	287	146	137	2	2	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	1,129	513	589	13	12	1	0	1

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

	• • •		
CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	390	3	60
Children 1 through 4	74	1	13
Children 5 through 9	42	0	2
Children 10 through 14	57	0	8
Children 15 through 19	169	1	22
Children 20 through 24	246	6	35
Children 0 through 24	978	11	140

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	859,851	446,082.0	395,548.0	4,546.0	5,917.0	228.0	7,530.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	100.0	61.3	36.5	0.3	0.7	0	0.7	0.5	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	100.0	22.6	76.9	0.3	0.2	0.7	0	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	424,474	137,990.0	253,670.0	1,712.0	1,801.0	0	0	29,301.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	67,015	15,118.0	51,555.0	188.0	107.0	47.0	0	0	2004
Number living in foster home care	2,686	1,141.0	1,418.0	2.0	6.0	21.0	98.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	209,972	47,379.0	161,540.0	594.0	333.0	126.0	0	0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	95,114	35,920.0	58,803.0	51.0	340.0	0	0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2,306.4	1,565.6	3,170.0	110.0	2,568.9	0	0	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.6	4.2	5.7	6.0	2.3	0	0	0	2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	842,653.0	17,197.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	50.0	50.0	0	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	99.5	0.5	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	421,533.0	2,941.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	66,707.0	308.0	0	2004
Number living in foster home care	2,506.0	25.0	138.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	208,898.0	1,074.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	95,115.0	3,089.0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2,335.5	883.9	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.6	6.6	0	2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	297,920	
Living in urban areas	187,690	
Living in rural areas	319,580	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	507,270	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MS

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	2,915,696.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.0
100% of poverty	<u>16.0</u>
200% of poverty	39.7

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MS

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	<u>859,850.0</u>
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	9.0
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	49.0

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 10 Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This number represent an estimate based on the population determined for children 0 through 19 living in metro areas.

2. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This number represent an estimate based on the population determined for children 0 through 19 living in urban areas.

3. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This number represent an estimate based on the population determined for children 0 through 19 living in rural areas.

4. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Frontier

Row Name: Living in frontier areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

There are known frontier areas in Mississippi

 Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_total

Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

2003 poverty status by state (POV46) is weighted data for Mississippi's population size (2,851,000 residents).

Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This percentage was estimated using 2004 data for the south region.

7. Section Number: Indicator 12

Field Name: S12\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006

This percentage was estimated using 2004 data for the south region.

#### NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MS

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children on Medicaid and SCHIP who receive EPSDT and preventive health services well child visits.

GOAL To increase access to preventive health care and health care for children on Medicaid and SCHIP.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of children age 0-20 enrolled in Medicaid and SCHIP who received a preventive screening during the past year.

Denominator:

Number of children 0-20 enrolled in Medicaid and SCHIP.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Statewide Data collection system on Medicaid and SCHIP

SIGNIFICANCE

Through screening programs, health education, preventive services and community interaction, services are designed to meet the specific needs of high risk groups and to promote positive health enhancing behaviors in the community. Health education for elementary school children is taught by health educators. Programs are age/grade specific and designed to promote proper health perfect in the designed to promote proper health programs are provided to promote proper the designed to promote programs are provided to promote proper the designed to promote p

to children identified by the screening program as being at high risk for disease.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Current percent of cigarette smoking among adolescents grades 6-12.

GOAL To decrease cigarrette smoking among 6-12 grade students

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator: The number of 6-12th grade public school students who report smoking cigarettes during the past 30 days

Denominator:

The total of 6-12 grade public school students who report smiking cigarettes during the reporting period

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) and the Youth Tobacco Survey (YTS)

SIGNIFICANCE

Cigarette smoking is the single most preventable cause of death in the United States. It has been estimated that one in five

dealths is caused by tobacco use. Studies have shown the vast majority of smokers start before 18 years of age.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of pregant women who smoke

GOAL To decrease cigarette smoking among pregnant women

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of women who report smoking while pregnant during the calendar year

Denominator:

The total of women who gave birth during the calendar year

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Data will be collected annually from Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) data and birth certificate records

SIGNIFICANCE

Smoking during pregnancy is associated with infant mortality, low birthweight and intrauterine growth retardation as well as negative effects in childhood. Both nationally and in Mississippi we have seen a significant increase in smoking among pregnant women aged 15-19. Not only do we want to stop the increases, we want to decrease smoking among pregnant

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children with genetic disorders identified through MDH newborn screening who receive case management

services.

GOAL To provide case management services to children testing positive for genetic disorders to assure their enrollment in a

follow-up treatment program.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of children with genetic disorders provided with case management services.

Denominator:

The number of children identified with genetic disorders.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Council of Regional Networks for Genetics Services Newborn and Clinical Annual Report, Birth Defects Registry, March of

Dimes Birth Defects Foundation and MSDH Chart Reviews.

SIGNIFICANCE Each year in the United States, an estimated 100,000 to 150,000 babies are born with major genetic birth defects. Children

with these defects account for about 25 percent to 30 percent of pediatric hospital admissions. The total costs for care of

children with defects exceed \$1 billion annually.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The Rate of Repeat Birth (per 1000) for Adolescents Less Than 18 Years Old

GOAL To reduce the rate of repeat births among teenagers during the reporting period

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of repeat live births to adolescents aged less than 18 years of age during the reporting period.

The number of live births to adolescents less than 18 years old during the reporting period.

Units: 1000 Text: 2

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

MSDH Vital Statistics data on mother's age and births.

SIGNIFICANCE

Mississippi continues to lead the nation in the percentage of births to adolescents. In 1998, of the 8,598 births to adolescents in the state, 2,095 (24.4%) were repeat births. Adolescent parenting is associated with the lack of high school completion and initiating a cycle of poverty for mothers.

**OBJECTIVE** 

SP#\_\_\_\_6

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children ages 0-5 on WIC classified as overweight

GOAL To reduce the rate of children on WIC who are classified as overweight

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of children age 0-5 on WIC classified as overweight

Denominator:

The number of children age 0-5 on WIC

Units: 100 Text: 1

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

WIC patient management system

SIGNIFICANCE

Mississippi is ranked as one of the most obese states in the United States. According to 2003 YRBSS data, over 16% of youth report themselves as being overweight and another 17% are at risk for becoming overweight. Physical education at an all time low with limited funding available to address obesity issues in our state. Consequently, childhood obesity must be addressed as a public health problem through comprehensive multi-agency efforts to eradiate this growing public health

concern.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of adolescents in grades 6-12 who are overweight or at risk for becoming overweight

To reduce the rate of teens who are overweight or at risk for becoming overweight GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of 6-12 grade public school students who report being overweight or at risk for becoming overweight

The number of 6-12 grade public school students surveyed during reporting period

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

YRBS data

SIGNIFICANCE

Mississippi is ranked as one of the most obese states in the United States. According to 2003 YRBSS data, over 16% of youth report themselves as being overweight and another 17% are at risk for becoming overweight. Physical education at an all time low with limited funding available to address obesity issues in our state. Consequently, childhood obesity must be addressed as a public health problem through comprehensive multi-agency efforts to eradiate this growing public health

concern.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children entering kindergarten reported to have had a comprehensive dental exam within the past 12 months

GOAL To increase the percent of children entering kindergarten who receive oral health care and preventive services

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of children entering kindergarten reported to have had a dental exam within the past 12 months

**Denominator:** The number of children entering kindergarten

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Student health records

**OBJECTIVE**